

**Plant Health Archives** 



# Article ID: PHA039

# Influence of Macro- and Micro-Fertilizers on Silkworm Economic Parameters

Devamani M.1\*, Dahira Beevi N.1 and Mohan A.2

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Higher Studies in Botany and Sericulture (Periyar University), Vaikkalpattarai, Salem, Tamil Nadu (636 017), India <sup>2</sup>Kandaswami Kandar's College, Velur, Namakkal, Tamil Nadu (638 182), India

# Open Access

Corresponding Author Devamani M.

🖂: devikattani@gmail.com

*Conflict of interests:* The author has declared that no conflict of interest exists.

#### How to cite this article?

Devamani, M., Dahira, B.N., Mohan, A., 2024. Influence of Macro- and Micro-Fertilizers on Silkworm Economic Parameters. *Plant Health Archives* 2(2), 41-47. DOI: 10.54083/PHA/2.2.2024/41-47.

**Copyright:** © 2024 Devamani *et al.* This is an open access article that permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium after the author(s) and source are credited.

#### Abstract

An intensive research was carried out during 2018-2022 at the Regional Sericultural Research Station, Salem, Tamil Nadu. The research focused on assessing the significance of micronutrients and their effects on the growth, moisture content, yield parameters and nutrient composition of mulberry leaves. The combined results indicated that treatments T, and T, exhibited higher larval weight, shell weight, rendita and denier, with values of 42.90 g, 0.42 g, 6.14 kg and 2.33 d, respectively. Treatment T<sub>e</sub> also showed promising results, with larval weight of 42 g, shell weight of 0.38 g, rendita of 6.2 kg and denier of 2.65 d. In terms of single cocoon weight, treatment T<sub>2</sub> had the highest value of 1.88 g, closely followed by T, with 1.86 g. Regarding shell ratio and silk filament length, T, exhibited higher values (22.58% and 1103 m) compared to T, (22.55% and 1099 m). Among the five crops studied, the fourth crop demonstrated the best results when treated with T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub>. These treatments resulted in higher larval weight (51.37 g and 51.6 g), cocoon weight (2.2 g and 2.3 g), shell weight (0.56 g and 0.55 g), shell ratio (25% and 24.67%), pupal weight (1.65 g and 1.71 g), silk filament length (1416 m and 1412 m), rendita (6 kg) and denier (2.46 d and 2.79 d) respectively.

Keywords: Inorganic fertilizers, Panchagavya, Poshan, Silkworm, V<sub>1</sub> mulberry variety

#### Introduction

Sericulture is a vital industry for millions of families worldwide, especially small and medium-scale farmers, offering low investment and high profits. India ranks second globally in raw silk production, with 33,770 MT in 2020-21. Mulberry silk contributes 23,896 MT; while Eri, Tasar and Muga silks contribute 6,946 MT, 2,689 MT and 239 MT, respectively (Anonymous 2021). In India, Karnataka, Andhra Pradesh, West Bengal, Tamil Nadu and Jammu and Kashmir, accounting for 95.87% of India's total mulberry silk production (Giridhar *et al.*, 2011).

High-quality cocoons in silkworms (*Bombyx mori* L.) depend on superior mulberry leaf feed. *Morus* sp. leaves are the main source of nutrition for *Bombyx mori* during cocoon production. Timely supply of quality mulberry leaves is crucial for raw silk production. In field crop, diseases (24%), insects (18%), weeds (7%) and others (51%) can affect mulberry leaf quality and quantity. Postpone of mulberry pruning and silkworm rearing by two weeks helps mitigate the effects of climate change, while revised crop schedules enhance yield and cocoon production for sustainable farming (Suresh *et al.*, 2022).

The nutrient composition of mulberry leaves has a effect on the larval growth, digestion, assimilation and silk quality in silkworms (Ramesha *et al.*, 2010; Jyothi *et al.*, 2014; Lalfelpuii *et al.*, 2014). The routine life cycle of *Bombyx mori*, originating from the same genetic stock, can vary significantly based on the nutritional quality of mulberry leaves (Rahmathulla, 2012). Rearing performance in terms weight of larval, cocoon weight, shell and silk percentage are important factors for achieving high productivity and quality (Gaviria *et al.*, 2006; Gangawar, 2010; Seidavi, 2011). The presence of higher concentrations of calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg) and iron (Fe) in mulberry leaves stimulates the metabolic activity of silkworms, resulting in shorter larval

### Article History

RECEIVED on 15<sup>th</sup> February 2024

© 2024

RECEIVED in revised form 23rd May 2024

ACCEPTED in final form 30th May 2024

duration and an increased pupation rate (Horie *et al.*, 1985). Combining 100% recommended fertilizer dose (RDF) with liquid Bio-NPK and Zn solubilizing bacteria maximized yield and nutrient concentrations, showing superior performance compared to individual applications (Singh *et al.*, 2022).

The mineral content of mulberry leaves plays a crucial role in the growth, development and silk quality of silkworms. Proper mineral nutrition is vital for high-quality silk production, as imbalances can negatively affect both the quantity and quality of the silk (Shree *et al.*, 2005; Mahadeva and Shree, 2005; Mahadeva, 2016). Supplementation of mulberry leaves with nickel (Ni) and zinc (Zn) chloride has been found to increase larval and cocoon weights. However, micronutrients should be applied carefully based on soil tests, ideally once every three years. They can be combined with mixed fertilizers or incorporated into granular fertilizers. Continuous application of organic manure can help address long-term micronutrient deficiencies (Wani *et al.*, 2016).

Bombyx mori L. larvae fed with nano-micronutrients mulberry treated enhance larval weight, growth duration, food-to-silk conversion efficiency (ERR) and silk productivity. Foliar application of nano-micronutrients has a more significant impact (Choudhury et al., 2019). For optimal growth and silk protein synthesis, silkworm larvae need a dietary protein and amino acid content of 20-25% (Horie et al., 1985). Foliar spray of micronutrients does not significantly impact larval growth and cocoon traits, except for magnesium, which has been found to increase cocoon yield (401 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and cocoon weight (1.5 g) (Viswanath and Krishnamurthy, 1982). Applying boron (4 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) and iron (5 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) in mulberry gardens boosts silkworm cocoon yield, whereas zinc (10 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>) decreases it. The growth, yield and quality of the Kanva-2 mulberry variety are enhanced with various doses of magnesium, manganese, iron, zinc and boron (Lokanath et al., 1986). Applying 200 kg of nitrogen as urea increases leaf yield by 23.83% compared to higher nitrogen doses. Silkworms fed mulberry leaves treated with micronutrients such as iron, manganese, copper, boron and molybdenum show improved silk quality and zinc application promotes both mulberry and silkworm growth (Bose and Bindroo, 2009). Research conducted by Wani et al. (2017) aimed to increase quality and quantity of silk and silkworm eggs by administering additional nutrients through spray or dust onto silkworm larvae and demonstrated the significant impact of foliar application of micronutrients on cocoon production. This method influences the physiological functions of silkworm breeds and enhances seed cocoon parameters, particularly with the utilization of zinc and boron.

Treating mulberry leaves with nano zinc oxide (20 ppm) through foliar spray and feeding them to silkworm larvae has shown favorable results. These include reduced molting and 5th instar duration, enhanced larval weight, improved silk conversion efficiency, increased cocoon and shell weight, longer filament and finer denier (Aslam and Ashfaq, 2004; Etebari *et al.*, 2004; Nithya *et al.*, 2018). During silk production, the posterior silk gland secretes fibrous protein,

while sericin acts as an adhesive to bind fibroin and form silk cocoons in silkworms (Sabina *et al.*, 2013). Therefore, the present study aims to determine the necessary amount of micro fertilizers for a mulberry garden and to assess their impact on the economic parameters of silkworm production.

#### **Materials and Methods**

From 2018 to 2022, a research took place at the Regional Sericultural Research Station, Salem, Tamil Nadu. The objective was to explore the role of micronutrients and their effect on silkworm and cocoon production. The experiment utilized the V<sub>1</sub> mulberry variety with a garden layout featuring  $3'\times3'$  spacing. Ten distinct treatments were supply to mulberry field by plotting layout in a randomized block design with three replications. 300 larvae of the silkworm crossbreed (CB) per replication and they were fed three times a day. Silkworm bioassay carried out by followed standard protocol described by Krishnaswami (1979a, 1979b).

#### **Treatment Details**

T<sub>1</sub>: 100% RDF (350:140:140 kg NPK ha<sup>-1</sup>year<sup>-1</sup>).

 $T_2$ : 100% RDF + 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>year<sup>-1</sup> micronutrients - soil application.

 $T_{_3}$ : 100% RDF + 25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>year<sup>-1</sup> micronutrients - soil application.

 $T_4$ : 100% RDF + 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>year<sup>-1</sup> micronutrients - soil application.

 $T_{s}$ : 100% RDF + 0.5% micronutrients (Zn, Fe, Mn) + 0.2% Borax - foliar application.

 $\rm T_6:$  100% RDF + 0.25% micronutrients (Zn, Fe, Mn) + 0.1% Borax - foliar application.

- $T_{_7}$ : 100% RDF + 0.7% Poshan foliar application.
- T<sub>8</sub>: 100% RDF + 3% panchagavya soil application.
- T<sub>a</sub>: 100% RDF + 5% panchagavya soil application.

T<sub>10</sub>: Absolute control.

Micro- and macro-nutrients applied in mulberry garden in the form of  $ZnSO_4$ ,  $FeSO_4$ ,  $MnSO_4$ , Borax and poshan purchased from CSR&TI, Mysore.

#### **Results and Discussion**

#### Pooled Data of 5-Crops

#### 10-Larval Weight (g)

In the field experiment,  $T_2$  (100% RDF + 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>year<sup>-1</sup> micronutrients - soil application) and  $T_3$  (RDF + Fe, Zn, Mn, B - 25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>year<sup>-1</sup> - soil application) showed the highest larval weights on the 6<sup>th</sup> day fifth instar.  $T_2$  had a weight of 42.90 g, followed by  $T_4$  (100% RDF + 20 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>year<sup>-1</sup> micronutrients - soil application) with 42 g (Figure 1). Among the crops, the fourth crop's  $T_3$  and  $T_2$  treatments had the highest larval weights, measuring 51.6 g and 51.37 g, respectively (Table 1). Choudhury *et al.* (2019) and Wani *et al.* (2017) also documented comparable results.



Figure 1: Silkworm larvae

Table 1: Effect of micronutrient application on 5th instar grown larval weight (g)

_						
Treat-	Crop-	Crop-		Crop-	Crop-	Pooled
ment	1	2	3	4	5	
T <sub>1</sub>	36	36.33	36.33	42.6	43.07	38.87
T <sub>2</sub>	37	37.33	38.02	50.77	51.37	42.90
T <sub>3</sub>	37.33	37	38	50.53	51.6	42.89
Τ <sub>4</sub>	37	37	36.51	49.2	50.43	42.03
T <sub>5</sub>	35.67	38.22	37	43.67	48.2	40.55
$T_6$	35.67	37.55	36	43.83	46.93	40.00
T <sub>7</sub>	37	35.33	35.47	42.12	48.07	39.60
T <sub>8</sub>	35.67	36	36	41	41.2	37.97
Т <sub>9</sub>	35.33	36.67	37	39.7	40.93	37.93
T_10	35.87	28.33	15.74	15.7	15.37	22.20
F-value	35.38	51.25	77.93	74.14	88.36	135.52
Sig.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

### Single Cocoon Weight (g)

Among the ten nutrient levels tested (pooled data), T<sub>2</sub> (RDF + Fe, Zn, Mn, B - 25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>year<sup>-1</sup> through soil application) followed by T<sub>2</sub> (100% RDF + 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>year<sup>-1</sup> micronutrients through soil application) showed significantly higher values in terms of cocoon weight, measuring 1.88 g and 1.86 g, respectively (Figure 2). Among the five crops studied, the fourth crop's T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> treatments exhibited relatively higher cocoon weights, measuring 2.3 g and 2.2 g, respectively (Table 2). Bose and Bindroo (2009), Shilpashree et al. (2015), Geetha et al. (2017), Wani et al. (2017), Choudhury et al. (2019) and Nazar et al. (2019) found similar results.

### Single Shell Weight (g)

Among the ten nutrient levels tested, T<sub>2</sub> (RDF + Fe, Zn, Mn, B - 25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>year<sup>-1</sup> through soil application) and T<sub>2</sub> (100% RDF + 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>year<sup>-1</sup> micronutrients through soil application)



Figure 2: Silkworm cocoon

Table 2: Effect of micronutrient application on single cocoon weight (g)

		, 				
Treat-	Crop-	Crop-	Crop-	Crop-	Crop-	Pooled
ment	1	2	3	4	5	
T <sub>1</sub>	1.65	1.81	1.68	1.68	1.73	1.71
T <sub>2</sub>	1.68	1.67	1.87	1.9	2.2	1.86
T <sub>3</sub>	1.67	1.7	1.85	1.9	2.3	1.88
Τ <sub>4</sub>	1.67	1.63	1.73	1.63	1.63	1.66
T <sub>5</sub>	1.63	1.67	1.81	1.74	1.82	1.76
$T_6$	1.73	1.7	1.58	1.67	1.59	1.65
T <sub>7</sub>	1.67	1.67	1.71	1.74	1.6	1.68
T <sub>8</sub>	1.53	1.67	1.71	1.67	1.63	1.64
Т <sub>9</sub>	1.47	1.513	1.56	1.43	1.54	1.5
T <sub>10</sub>	1.53	0.6	0.31	0.23	0.33	0.64
F-value	24.55	21.70	51.09	49.32	40.74	145.01
Sig.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

showed significantly higher values in terms of single shell weight, measuring 0.42 g and 0.38 g, respectively. Among the five crops studied, the fourth crop's T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> treatments exhibited relatively higher single shell weights, measuring 0.56 g and 0.55 g, respectively (Table 3). Murali et al. (2006), Shilpashree et al. (2015), Geetha et al. (2017) and Choudhury et al. (2019) found similar results.

# Pupal Weight (g)

 $T_3$  (RDF + Fe, Zn, Mn, B - 25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup>) and  $T_2$  (100% RDF + 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>year<sup>-1</sup> micronutrients) had the highest pupal weights (1.46 g and 1.44 g, respectively) among the ten nutrient levels tested. In the fourth crop, T, and T, had the highest pupal weights (1.65 g and 1.71 g, respectively) (Table 4). Bose and Bindroo (2009), Geetha et al. (2017) and Wani et al. (2017) found similar results.

### Shell Ratio (%)

Among the ten nutrient levels tested (pooled data), T<sub>2</sub>

```
43
```

weight (g	)					
Treat-	Crop-	Crop-	Crop-	Crop-	Crop-	Pooled
ment	1	2	3	4	5	
T <sub>1</sub>	0.34	0.37	0.34	0.38	0.38	0.36
T <sub>2</sub>	0.34	0.35	0.42	0.46	0.55	0.42
T <sub>3</sub>	0.34	0.35	0.41	0.46	0.56	0.42
T <sub>4</sub>	0.35	0.37	0.36	0.36	0.36	0.36
T <sub>5</sub>	0.33	0.35	0.38	0.41	0.43	0.38
$T_6$	0.35	0.34	0.32	0.36	0.36	0.35
T <sub>7</sub>	0.33	0.34	0.35	0.35	0.35	0.34
T <sub>8</sub>	0.35	0.34	0.35	0.36	0.35	0.35
T <sub>9</sub>	0.31	0.31	0.32	0.33	0.35	0.32
T <sub>10</sub>	0.31	0.09	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.10
F-value	25.98	38.48	36.97	54.28	44.90	90.80
Sig.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

Table 3: Effect of micronutrient application on shell weight (g)

Table 4: Effect of micronutrient application on pupal weight (g)

- 10						
Treat-	Crop-	Crop-	Crop-	Crop-	Crop-	Pooled
ment	1	2	3	4	5	
T <sub>1</sub>	1.31	1.44	1.34	1.3	1.35	1.35
T <sub>2</sub>	1.34	1.32	1.47	1.45	1.65	1.44
T <sub>3</sub>	1.33	1.38	1.44	1.44	1.71	1.46
$T_4$	1.32	1.26	1.37	1.27	1.27	1.32
Τ <sub>5</sub>	1.30	1.32	1.43	1.33	1.39	1.38
T <sub>6</sub>	1.38	1.36	1.26	1.31	1.23	1.30
Т <sub>7</sub>	1.34	1.33	1.36	1.39	1.25	1.34
T <sub>8</sub>	1.18	1.33	1.36	1.31	1.28	1.29
T <sub>9</sub>	1.16	1.203	1.24	1.1	1.19	1.18
T <sub>10</sub>	1.22	0.51	0.27	0.2	0.3	0.54
F-value	22.81	12.81	28.50	46.77	39.34	121.14
Sig.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

(100% RDF + 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>year<sup>-1</sup> micronutrients through soil application) followed by T<sub>3</sub> (RDF + Fe, Zn, Mn, B - 25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>year<sup>-1</sup> through soil application) showed significantly higher values in terms of shell ratio, measuring 22.58% and 22.55%, respectively. Among the five crops studied, the T<sub>2</sub> and T<sub>3</sub> treatments in the fourth crop exhibited a relatively higher shell ratio of 25% (Table 5). Murali *et al.* (2006), Bose and Bindroo (2009), Shilpashree *et al.* (2015), Geetha *et al.* (2017) and Nazar *et al.* (2019 also documented comparable results.

### Filament Length (m)

Out of the ten nutrient levels tested (combining data),  $T_2$  (100% RDF + 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>year<sup>-1</sup> micronutrients *via* soil application) and  $T_3$  (RDF + Fe, Zn, Mn, B - 25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> year<sup>-1</sup> *via* soil application) displayed notably higher values in terms of silk filament length, measuring 1,103 m and 1,099 m, respectively. Among the five crops examined, the fourth

Table 5: Effect of micronutrient application on shell ratio (%)

(/0)						
Treat-	Crop-	Crop-	Crop-	Crop-	Crop-	Pooled
ment	1	2	3	4	5	
T <sub>1</sub>	20.61	20.44	20.24	22.62	21.97	21.17
T <sub>2</sub>	20.24	20.96	22.45	24.21	25.00	22.58
T <sub>3</sub>	20.36	20.23	22.16	24.21	24.67	22.55
T <sub>4</sub>	20.96	22.70	20.81	22.09	22.09	20.48
T <sub>5</sub>	20.25	20.96	20.99	23.56	23.63	21.60
T <sub>6</sub>	20.23	20.00	20.25	21.56	22.64	20.97
T <sub>7</sub>	19.76	20.36	20.47	20.11	21.88	20.48
T <sub>8</sub>	22.88	20.36	20.47	21.56	21.47	21.34
Т <sub>9</sub>	21.09	20.49	20.51	23.08	22.73	21.51
T <sub>10</sub>	20.26	15.00	12.90	13.04	9.09	15.63
F-value	15.50	3.58	10.38	75.46	10.44	19.78
Sig.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

crop treated with  $T_2$  and  $T_3$  showed relatively longer silk filament lengths of 1,416 m and 1,412 m respectively (Table 6). Researchers Shilpashree *et al.* (2015) and Nazar *et al.* (2019) also found comparable data.

Table 6: Effect of micronutrient application on filament length (m)

Treat-	Crop-	Crop-	Crop-	Crop-	Crop-	Pooled		
ment	1	2	3	4	5			
T <sub>1</sub>	832	824	822	1212	1230	986		
T <sub>2</sub>	815	830	1028	1405	1416	1103		
T <sub>3</sub>	825	812	1022	1405	1412	1099		
T <sub>4</sub>	844	826	922	1188	1189	979		
T <sub>5</sub>	815	830	959	1330	1343	1054		
Т <sub>6</sub>	814	808	868	1186	1242	984		
T <sub>7</sub>	529	812	898	1172	1202	974		
T <sub>8</sub>	869	812	892	1175	1196	996		
Т <sub>9</sub>	846	818	907	1224	1246	999		
T <sub>10</sub>	817	525	585	432	597	582		
F-value	17.64	10.23	18.09	28.03	24.83	49.19		
Sig.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		

#### Renditta (kg)

In the study,  $T_2$  (100% RDF + 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>year<sup>-1</sup> micronutrients through soil application) and  $T_3$  (RDF + Fe, Zn, Mn, B - 25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>year<sup>-1</sup> through soil application) showed significantly lower renditta values, measuring 6.14 kg and 6.20 kg, respectively. In the fourth crop, treatments  $T_2$ ,  $T_3$  and  $T_5$ had relatively lower renditta values of 6 kg each (Table 7). Murali *et al.* (2006), Shilpashree *et al.* (2015) and Nazar *et al.* (2019) also documented comparable results.

### Denier (%)

In the study, T<sub>2</sub> (100% RDF + 30 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>year<sup>-1</sup> micronutrients

```
44
```

Table 7: Effect of	f micronutrient ap	plication on rendi	tta (kg)			
Treatment	Crop-1	Crop-2	Crop-3	Crop-4	Crop-5	Pooled
T <sub>1</sub>	8.2	8	9	8.4	8	8.32
T <sub>2</sub>	7	6	6	5.7	6	6.14
T <sub>3</sub>	7.8	6	5.6	5.7	6	6.14
T <sub>4</sub>	7.4	6	7	7	7	6.88
T₅	7	6	6	6	6	6.2
Т <sub>6</sub>	10	10	9	8.5	8	9.1
T <sub>7</sub>	10	8	8.3	8.5	8.5	8.66
T <sub>8</sub>	6.5	9	8.3	8	8.5	8.06
T <sub>9</sub>	7.43	8	7.3	7	7	7.346
T <sub>10</sub>	9.5	10	12.5	14	13	11.8
F-value	6.86	14.10	60.48	72.53	144.21	78.16
Sig.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

through soil application) and  $T_3$  (RDF + Fe, Zn, Mn, B - 25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>year<sup>-1</sup> through soil application) recorded significantly lower values in denier, measuring 2.33 d and 2.65 d, respectively. Among the five crops studied, the fourth

crop's T<sub>2</sub>, T<sub>3</sub> and T<sub>5</sub> treatments exhibited relatively lower denier values of 2.33 d and 2.65 d (Table 8). Thangamani and Vivekanandan (1984), Etebari *et al.* (2004), and Aslam and Ashfaq (2004) also documented comparable results.

Table 8: Effect o	f micronutrient ap	plication on denie	er (d)			
Treatment	Crop-1	Crop-2	Crop-3	Crop-4	Crop-5	Pooled
T <sub>1</sub>	3.33	3.28	3.64	3.51	3.74	3.5
T <sub>2</sub>	2.88	2.64	2.70	2.48	2.56	2.65
T <sub>3</sub>	2.64	2.50	2.48	2.56	2.56	2.55
T <sub>4</sub>	2.64	2.41	2.41	2.57	2.62	2.53
T <sub>5</sub>	3.12	3.12	3.34	3.51	3.48	3.313
T <sub>6</sub>	3.08	2.97	2.84	2.84	2.67	2.883
T <sub>7</sub>	2.94	3.33	3.28	3.28	3.33	3.233
T <sub>8</sub>	3.13	3.21	3.18	3.27	3.46	3.25
T <sub>9</sub>	3.54	3.26	3.33	3.42	3.55	3.42
T <sub>10</sub>	3.84	3.74	3.66	3.72	3.72	3.733
F- value	59.50	144.72	126.74	105.16	137.98	1001.27
Sig.	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00

# Conclusion

The study's results suggested that  $T_2$  and  $T_3$  yielded positive outcomes in silkworm economic parameters for both crop-4 and pooled data. Notably, there were no significant distinctions observed among the treatments except for  $T_{10}$  (control).

### Acknowledgement

The authors are grateful to RSRS and CSB for providing grant to conduct the research work in the RSRS field and laboratory. Special thanks to KSSR&DI, Bangalore for grant to us to work in their laboratory.

### References

Anonymous, 2021. Annual Report 2020-21. Central Sericultural Research & Training Institute, Central Silk Board, Ministry of Textiles: Govt. of India, Berhampore (742 101), West Bengal. p. 108. URL: http://csb.gov. in/wp-content/uploads/2024/05/1-AR-2022-23-Final-Low.pdf.

- Aslam, M.M., Ashfaq, M., 2004. Impact on bionomics of *Bombyx mori* L. fed on leaves sprayed with mineral sources. *Pakistan Entomology* 26(1), 39-41.
- Bose, P.C., Bindroo, B.B., 2009. Effect of micronutrients on yield of mulberry in subtropical region. *Journal of Crop and Weed* 5(2), 142-143.
- Choudhury, P., Ashoka, J., Hadimani, D.K., Sreenivas, A.G., Sharanagouda, H., 2019. Effect of nano micronutrients on mulberry silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. for larval and cocoon traits. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry* 8(6), 509-513.

- Etebari, K., Kaliwal, B.B., Matindoost, L., 2004. Different aspects of mulberry leaves supplementation with various nutritional compounds in sericulture. *International Journal of Industrial Entomology and Biomaterials* 9(1), 15-28.
- Geetha, T., Ramamoorthy, R., Murugan, N., 2017. Effect of foliar spray of micronutrients applied individually and in combination on mulberry leaf production, cocoon productivity and profitability. Chapter 8. In: *Statistical Approaches on Multidisciplinary Research*, Volume I. (Eds.) Vignesh, S. and Arokiadoss, A.P. Surragh Publishers, India. p. 64-70.
- Giridhar, K., Mahanta, J.C., Nagesh, S., Kantharaju, B.M., 2011. Raw silk production: 2010-2011. *Indian Silk* 2(50 old)(8) 25-27.
- Gangawar, S.K., 2010. Impact of varietal feeding of eight Mulberry varieties on *Bombyx mori* L. *Agriculture and Biology Journal of North America* 1(3), 350-354. DOI: https://doi.org/10.5251/abjna.2010.1.3.350.354.
- Gaviria, D.A., Aguilar, E., Serrano, H.J., Alegria, A.H., 2006. DNA fingerprinting using AFLP markers to search for makers associated with yield attributes in the silkworm *Bombyx mori. Journal of Insect Science* 6(1), 15. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1673/2006\_06\_15.1.
- Horie, Y., Nakasone, S., Watanabe, K., Nakamura, M., Suda, H., 1985. Daily ingestion and utilization of various kinds of nutrients by the silkworm *Bombyx mori* (Lepidoptera: Bombycidae). *Applied Entomology and Zoology* 20(2), 159-172. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1303/ aez.20.159.
- Jyothi, M., Pratap, M., Naik, S.T., 2014. Studies on biochemical constituents of different genotypes of *Morus alba* L. *International Journal of Pharma & Bio Sciences* 5(2), 835-840.
- Krishnaswami, S., 1979a. *Improved Method of Rearing Young Age (Chawki) Silkworms*. Reprinted from the Bulletin No. 3 of the CSRTI, Mysore. Central Silk Board, Govt. of India, Ministry of Textiles, Bangalore. pp. 1-24.
- Krishnaswami, S., 1979b. New Technology of Silkworm Rearing. Reprinted from the Bulletin No. 2 of the CSRTI, Mysore. Central Silk Board, Govt. of India, Ministry of Textiles, Bangalore. pp. 1-23.
- Lalfelpuii, R., Choudhury, B.N., Gurusubramanian, G., Senthil Kumar, N., 2014. Influence of medicinal plant extracts on the growth and economic parameters of mulberry silkworm, *Bombyx mori* L. *Sericologia* 54(4), 275-282.
- Lokanath, R., Shivashankar, K., Kasiviswanathan, K., 1986. Effect of foliar application of magnesium and micronutrients to mulberry on the quality and production of cocoons. *Indian Journal of Sericulture* 25(1), 40-45.
- Mahadeva, A., Shree, M.P., 2005. Effect of feeding spiralling whitefly (*Aleurodicus dispersus* Russell) infested mulberry (*Morus* sp.) leaves on silkworm (*Bombyx mori* L.). *Geobios* 32(4), 241-244.
- Mahadeva, A., 2016. Nutritive elemental status in mulberry (*Morus* sp.) foliage under jassids (*Empoasca flavescenes* F.) infestation. *Indian Journal of Natural Sciences* 7(38), 11537-11544.

- Murali, C., Sreeramulu, K.R., Narayanaswamy, T.K., Shankar, M.A., Sreekantaiah, M., 2006. Effect of bioinoculants and organic manures on soil microflora and fertility status of S<sub>36</sub> mulberry garden. In: *National Seminar on Soil Health and Water Management for Sustainable Sericulture*. 27<sup>th</sup> and 28<sup>th</sup> September, 2006, Bangalore. Regional Sericultural Research Station, Central Silk Board, Govt. of India, Ministry of Textiles, Bangalore, India. p. 90.
- Nazar, A, Kalarani, M.K., Jeyakumar, P., Kalaiselvi, T., Arulmozhiselvan, K., Manimekalai, S., 2019. Effect of micronutrients and biofertilizers on growth and yield of mulberry (*Morus indica* L.) and silkworm (*Bombyx mori* L.). *Madras Agricultural Journal* 106(1-3), 69-73. DOI: https://doi.org/10.29321/MAJ.2019.000224.
- Nithya, B.N., Naika, R., Naveen, D.V., Venkatachalapathi, V., 2018. Effect of foliar spray of nano zinc on growth and cocoon productivity of mulberry silkworm (*Bombyx mori* L). *AgricInternational* 5(2), 40-42, DOI: https:// doi.org/10.5958/2454-8634.2018.00018.9.
- Rahmathulla, V.K., 2012. Management of climatic factors for successful silkworm (*Bombyx mori* L.) crop and higher silk production: A review. *Psyche* 2012, 121234. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/121234.
- Ramesha, C., Anuradha, C.M., Lakshmi, H., Kumari, S.S., Seshagiri, S.V., Goel, A.K., Kumar, C.S., 2010. Nutrigenetic traits analysis for the identification of nutritionally efficient silkworm germplasm breeds. *Biotechnology* 9(2), 131-140. DOI: https://doi. org/10.3923/biotech.2010.131.140.
- Sabina, A., Taseem, A., Mokhdomi, M.F., Trag, A.R., Raies, A.Q., 2013. Comparative silk protein expression of different hybrid varieties of *Bombyx mori* L. *DAV International Journal of Science* 2(1), 88-92.
- Seidavi, A., 2011. Evaluation of the genetic potential of six native strains of silkworm *Bombyx mori*. *African Journal of Agricultural Research* 6(20), 4816-4823. DOI: https://doi.org/10.5897/AJAR11.537.
- Shilpashree, K.G., Subbarayappa, C.T., Doreswamy, S., 2015. Effect of soil application of micronutrients on quality of mulberry and cocoon production. *Research Journal of Agricultural Sciences* 6(4), 830-833.
- Shree, M.P., Anuradha, R., Nagaveni, V., 2005. Impact of rust disease on the mineral nutrition of mulberry plants. *Sericologia* 45(1), 115-121.
- Singh, N., Joshi, E., Sasode, D.S., Dangi, R.S., Chouhan, N., 2022. Nutrient concentrations and their total uptake as affected by liquid biofertilizers in groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *Research Biotica* 4(1), 21-25. DOI: https://doi.org/10.54083/ResBio/4.1.2022/21-25.
- Suresh, K., Manjappa, Umesh, D.K., Harijan, Y., Kishor Kumar, C.M., 2022. Optimal date of mulberry pruning and silkworm rearing for improvement of quality and yield potential of mulberry foliage and silk cocoons in Lower-Gangetic Region. *Research Biotica* 4(4), 185-190. DOI: https://doi.org/10.54083/ResBio/4.4.2022/185-190.
- Thangamani, R., Vivekanandan, M., 1984. Physiological studies and leaf nutrient analysis in the evaluation

of best mulberry variety. Sericologia 24(3), 317-324.

Viswanath, A.P., Krishnamurthy, K., 1982. Effect of foliar spray on micronutrients on the larval development and cocoon characters of silkworm (*Bombyx mori* L.). *Indian Journal of Sericulture* 21-22, 1-6.

Wani, M.Y., Mir, M.R., Baqual, M.F., Mehraj, K., 2016. Role of

micronutrients in mulberry crop improvement. *Indian Horticulture Journal* 6(Special), 92-97.

Wani, M.Y., Mir, M.R., Baqual, M.F., Mehraj, K., Bhat, T.A., Rani, S., 2017. Role of foliar sprays in sericulture industry. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry* 6(4), 1803-1806.